



Agenda

**Notice is hereby given of
an Extraordinary Council Meeting**

Thursday 4 June 2020

Commencing at 9.30am

Waimate Event Centre
Paul Street
Waimate

www.waimatedc.govt.nz

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Council will be held in the Waimate Event Centre, Paul Street, Waimate, on Thursday 4 June 2020, commencing at 9.30am.

Elected Members

Craig Rowley	Chairperson
Sharyn Cain	Deputy Chairperson
Fabia Fox	Councillor
Sandy McAlwee	Councillor
Miriam Morton	Councillor
Tom O'Connor	Councillor
David Owen	Councillor
Colin Pankhurst	Councillor
Sheila Paul	Councillor

Quorum – no less than five members

Significance Consideration

Evaluation: Council officers, in preparing these reports have had regard to Council's Significance and Engagement Policy. Council and Committee members will make the final assessment on whether the subject under consideration is to be regarded as being significant or not. Unless Council or Committee explicitly determines that the subject under consideration is to be deemed significant then the subject will be deemed as not being significant.

Decision Making

The Council, in considering each matter, must be:

- i. Satisfied that it has sufficient information about the practicable options and their benefits, costs and impacts, bearing in mind the significance of the decision;
- ii. Satisfied that it knows enough about and will give adequate consideration to the views and preferences of affected and interested parties bearing in mind the significance of the decisions to be made.

Stuart Duncan
Chief Executive

Order Of Business

Opening	5
1 Council Prayer	5
2 Public Forum.....	5
3 Apologies	5
4 Visitors	5
5 Conflicts of Interest	5
6 Identification of Major (Urgent) or Minor Items Not on the Agenda	5
Reports	6
7 General Reports.....	6
7.1 Verbal Submissions on Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020	6
7.2 Report on the Result of Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020	18
Meeting Closure.....	51

OPENING

1 COUNCIL PRAYER

There is no Council Prayer to open this meeting.

2 PUBLIC FORUM

There is no Public Forum held at this meeting.

3 APOLOGIES

The Chair will call for any apologies.

4 VISITORS

5 CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

As per the Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968 (as below), the Chair will enquire if there are any Conflicts of Interest to be declared on any item on the agenda, and if so, for any member to declare this interest.

Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968

Councillors are reminded that if they have a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda, then they must declare this interest and refrain from discussing or voting on this item and are advised to withdraw from the meeting table.

6 IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR (URGENT) OR MINOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

1. The Chair will call for any major (urgent business) or minor items not on the agenda to be raised according to Standing Orders, as below:

- a. **Standing Orders 3.7.5 – Major Items**

An item not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at the meeting if the local authority by resolution so decides, and the presiding member explains at the meeting at a time when it is open to the public –

- i. The reason why the item was not listed on the agenda; and
 - ii. The reason why discussion of the item cannot be delayed until a subsequent meeting.

- b. **Standing Orders 3.7.6 – Minor Items**

An item not on the agenda for a meeting may be dealt with at the meeting if –






- i. That item is a minor matter relating to the general business of the local authority; and
 - ii. The presiding member explains at the beginning of the meeting, at a time when it is open to the public, that the item will be discussed at the meeting; but
 - iii. No resolution, decision, or recommendation may be made in respect of that item except to refer that item to a subsequent meeting of the local authority for further discussion.

REPORTS**7 GENERAL REPORTS****7.1 VERBAL SUBMISSIONS ON RUBBISH & RECYCLING SERVICES CONSULTATION 2020**

Author: Leonardo Milani, Policy Analyst

Authoriser: Carolyn Johns, Community and Strategy Group Manager

Attachments:

1. **Submission No. 209** [↓](#) 
2. **Submission No. 183** [↓](#) 
3. **Submission No. 279** [↓](#) 
4. **Submission No. 289** [↓](#) 
5. **Submission No. 285** [↓](#) 

PURPOSE

To inform Council as to the order of the presentation of 5 verbal submissions on the Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020, each in support of their corresponding written submissions, to be held on 4 June 2020, as below.

The 5 corresponding submissions are attached for Council's perusal.

9:30AM

1. Mr M. Ludemann (Submission No. 209)

9:40AM

2. Mr B. Sommerfeld (Submission No. 183)

9:50AM

3. Mr J. Coles (Submission No. 279)

10:00AM

4. Mr J. Fletcher (Submission No. 289)

10:10AM

5. Ms G. Joines (Submission No. 285)

Cut here

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Your details

In order for this submission to be valid, your name and contact details must be included.

Full name Murray Ludemann
 Organisation (if applicable) Valmurray Limited
 Physical address 16 Holmes Street Waimate
 Postal address (if different to above) P.O. Box 71 Waimate
 Email murray.ludemann@gmail.com Phone 021-627060

Verbal submission

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the Council hearing on Wednesday 3 June 2020? ☒ Yes ☐ No
 (If yes, please tell us your daytime phone number above and we will contact you to arrange a suitable time).

If you wish to make your submission in te reo Māori or New Zealand Sign Language, please contact Council on 03-689-0025 or email submission@waimatedc.govt.nz.

Privacy

A full copy of all submissions will be made publicly available. Would you like your personal details withheld? ☐ Yes

Your feedback

What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?

☒ Option A – multi-bin system ☐ Option B – 2 bin + glass ☐ Option C – current service

Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district? (refer page 8) ☐ Yes ☐ No

In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If so, where?

Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, please provide details.

Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, what hours would you like to see it operate?

Cut here

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Additional feedback

Do you have any other feedback in relation to rubbish and recycling in the Waimate District?

I notice the charges are dwelling. I would like an option of being able to opt out of the charge all together. As I do support the 3 bin system plus glass crate. But as I have 2 flats in 6B/6C Hayes Street with only one person living in each flat it would make sense for them to share the bins and crate there saving me \$423 per year and less issues to where to store the extra bins. Personally I think recycling other than removing metal and glass doesn't financially stack up without subsidies - Tax payers money. Your money my money.

Please use additional paper if needed

How to give us your feedback

- 1 Go to the Council website waimatedc.govt.nz and complete the online submission form.
- 2 Fill in this submission form and
 - a. Post to Freepost, Waimate District Council, PO Box 122, Waimate 7960 (no stamp required) OR
 - b. Scan your completed submission form and email it to us at submission@waimatedc.govt.nz OR
 - c. Drop it into the Council office, 125 Queen Street, Waimate.

All submissions are to reach Council by **4pm Friday 22 May 2020**.

Additional copies of this consultation document can be obtained from the Council office or downloaded from Council's website waimatedc.govt.nz.

Key dates

FRI
22
MAY

Consultation
closes at 4pm

WED
3
JUNE

Submission
hearings

THU
4
JUNE

Council
decisions

Question

1. On my rates what are the current charge for the red bin and crate?
2. Why was there not a local Waimate District Council sole disposal system? planned
3. Please explain the savings by having McKenzie Timaru and Waimate have the same contractor. (Chinese owned, Waste Management Ltd)
4. Please give a break down of the Green taxes imposed upon local government forcing recycling with subsidies that don't otherwise financially stack up.
5. Statement - Question
Why are we forced to have pay per ~~dwelling~~ dwelling? in my case two flats sharing the bins and crate giving me a saving \$423 - per year.
6. Have you not considered burning the waste that's including paper cardboard, plastic and tyres. That way we would save on the government heavy and labour costs. Trees and plants would be grateful for the CO2 that's their food.
7. Remove the Organics and metal and glass. We could be charging other Councils to dispose of their waste. To turn into electric power

Page 1

**SUBMISSION TO WAIMATE DISTRICT COUNCIL,
RUBBISH AND RECYCLING SERVICES 2020**

Submitter. Bernard Charles Sommerfeld

Status. Rate payer and Resident of St Andrews Village.

Address. 1 thackeray St R.D. 24

St Andrews 7988

E mail. lynbern@xnet.co.nz

Phone. 6126292.

I wish to be able to speak at the Council hearing on wed 3rd June 2020.

1. I have lived in the Waimate District for 20 years and St Andrews Village has been my home. We have experienced various proposals that Council has presented to the residents, regarding rubbish and recycling.
2. The 2020 consultation document is the best proposal that I have had to consider, OPTION A is the option that suits our budget and the way that recycling, waste disposal is best managed.
3. The disposal of green waste has always been a problem.
4. In my own situation I chip and screed my green waste and grass clippings to make compost, leaves and small twigs do not run thru my chopper, these are put in my rubbish bin. Weeds are not suitable for composting. The green waste bin will be very useful to us.
5. The recycling drop off located in the Village on our Village Green has been operating for a number of years. It has been a dumping ground for rubbish as persons from outside the District creates the waste problem, travelling camper vans, persons vacating properties. Material lying around creates an eyesore to the Village. When the bins are full loose paper and plastic is blown around the Village. A noise problem is also created with glass being tipped into the bins.
6. The proposal in OPTION A to have each household to have a recycling bin will eliminate the recycling drop off and hence remove the problems that I have referred to.
7. In support of OPTION A, I have the following recommendations to Council.
 - a. Close the recycling drop off in St Andrews
 - b. Relocate in the rural area, at the intersection of Blue Cliffs Road and Middle Road this will enable the rural areas to have drop off recycling.

Page of 2 Pages

Page 2 of 02

- c. Plant out the present recycling drop off area with native planting to compliment the existing planting
- d. Council should produce some guidance as to what plastic is suitable for recycling
Most clear hard plastic is recyclable, soft plastic is a cause for confusion ,

That concludes my submission and I would like to thank Council to be able to express
My views on the proposal.

Bern Sommerfeld



18/05/2020

St Andrews



Details

Full Name	John G. Coles
Organisation (if applicable)	Not Answered
Address	90 Shearman Street, Waimate 7924
Is your postal address different from the above?	No
Physical Address	Not Answered
Email Address	john.c@farmside.co.nz

Verbal Submission

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the Council hearing on Wednesday 3 June 2020?	Yes
Daytime Phone Number	36896577

Privacy

A full copy of all submissions will be made publicly available. Would you like your personal details withheld?	No
---	----

Your Feedback

What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?	Option C – current service
Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district?	Yes
In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations?	No
If So Where	Not Answered
Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate?	N/A
If Not Please Provide Details	Not Answered
Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate?	Yes
If Not What Hours	Not Answered

Additional information

Do you have any other feedback in relation to rubbish and recycling in the Waimate District?	In our personal situation our 80 litre rubbish bin only goes out once a fortnight where as the recycling crate goes out weekly. Where has the education been and policing of rubbish if contamination is a problem. When the current
---	--

waste collection started there were to be cameras on the rubbish trucks, is this no longer the case. How do we get rid of our food waste? We have a compost bin in the garden with active worms and other little breaking down creatures, where we never fill this container because the material we put in gets broken down as quickly as we put it in. This was my education those years ago when recycling was strongly promoted and saw what a woman was doing at Rakaia. There appears to be where has this education of late come from council. As for the Green Waste what is wrong with this continuing with this service for those that want it, I know putting house hold scraps in green waste is a problem so that would still have to be outlawed. I notice around where I live a lot of older widowed people have gardeners who come in and mow the lawns and remove weeds so there is no need for them to have a green waste bin. Our green waste because we have a large section is taken away by the trailer load to rot down on the farm so I have no need for green waste bin. The waste plan Council is proposing looking out for the next 25 years is very optimistic when I read about the Southland Councils debate that is going on there suggesting that recycle material might have to go to landfill in the future. God forbid if we all have to have 5 large bins in our gardens as is being suggested as by Ministry of Environment.

We can not rely on other countries taking our waste, is it not time that some real effort is put into the theme of recycle reuse in our own country.

Having just returned from doing Meals on Wheels makes you realise how many older folk make up this towns population and the number that are widowed. How many times is it said one size doesn't fit all, it is so easy to have a blanket fit which for administration purposes is so easy, councillors and council staff try to think of the make up of this community, there needs and what they can pay for.

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Your details

In order for this submission to be valid, your name and contact details must be included.

Full name..... JOHN FLETCHER

Organisation (if applicable).....

Physical address..... 101 PARSONAGE ROAD

Postal address (if different to above).....

Email..... fletcher5extra.co.nz Phone..... 027 4326083

Verbal submission

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the Council hearing on Wednesday 3 June 2020? ☒ Yes ☐ No
(If yes, please tell us your daytime phone number above and we will contact you to arrange a suitable time).

If you wish to make your submission in te reo Māori or New Zealand Sign Language, please contact Council on 03-689-0025 or email submission@waimatedc.govt.nz.

Privacy

A full copy of all submissions will be made publicly available. Would you like your personal details withheld? ☐ Yes

Your feedback

What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?

☐ Option A – multi-bin system ☐ Option B – 2 bin + glass ☒ Option C – current service

Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district? (refer page 8) ☒ Yes ☐ No

In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If so, where?.....

Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, please provide details.

Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If not, what hours would you like to see it operate?.....

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Additional feedback

Do you have any other feedback in relation to rubbish and recycling in the Waimate District?

My submission is for option C but with the addition of an organics bin. I believe that the commingled collection of recycling depreciates the quality and therefore the value of recyclable material.

Please use additional paper if needed.

How to give us your feedback

- 1 Go to the Council website waimatedc.govt.nz and complete the online submission form.
- 2 Fill in this submission form and
 - a. Post to Freepost, Waimate District Council, PO Box 122, Waimate 7960 (no stamp required) OR
 - b. Scan your completed submission form and email it to us at submission@waimatedc.govt.nz OR
 - c. Drop it into the Council office, 125 Queen Street, Waimate.

All submissions are to reach Council by **4pm Friday 22 May 2020**.

Additional copies of this consultation document can be obtained from the Council office or downloaded from Council's website waimatedc.govt.nz.

Key dates

FRI
22
MAY

Consultation
closes at **4pm**

WED
3
JUNE

Submission
hearings

THU
4
JUNE

Council
decisions

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Your details

In order for this submission to be valid, your name and contact details must be included.

Full name..... CHEENITI ANNE JOINES

Organisation (if applicable).....

Physical address..... 6 BROWNS AVE.

Postal address (if different to above).....

Email..... Phone 689 1128

Verbal submission

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the Council hearing on Wednesday 3 June 2020? ☒ Yes ☐ No
(If yes, please tell us your daytime phone number above and we will contact you to arrange a suitable time).

If you wish to make your submission in te reo Māori or New Zealand Sign Language, please contact Council on 03-689-0025 or email submission@waimatedc.govt.nz.

Privacy

A full copy of all submissions will be made publicly available. Would you like your personal details withheld? ☒ Yes

Your feedback

What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?

☐ Option A – multi-bin system ☐ Option B – 2 bin + glass ☐ Option C – current service

Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district? (refer page 8) ☐ Yes ☐ No

In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If so, where?.....

Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, please provide details.

Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate? ☒ Yes ☐ No

If not, what hours would you like to see it operate?.....

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Additional feedback

Do you have any other feedback in relation to rubbish and recycling in the Waimate District?

Do we have a say as to Bin Size.
As I live in Browns Ave & Room is a
problem. So it would be very helpful
to have Bin Size taken into account.
In my case Yellow Bin & Green Bin
same size as the Red we have now would
be just great. & 60 Ltrs for Glass.
Also I watch CARRIERS come & in some
cases take BINS out for ones who cant.
The CARRIER WORK LOAD is GREAT ENOUGH
& in my mind its quite UNFAIR AS THEY
ARE SUCH GOOD PEOPLE.
Respect & Compassion as a Council should
be a consideration.

Please use additional paper if needed.

How to give us your feedback

- 1 Go to the Council website waimatedc.govt.nz and complete the online submission form.
- 2 Fill in this submission form and
 - a. Post to Freepost, Waimate District Council, PO Box 122, Waimate 7960 (no stamp required) OR
 - b. Scan your completed submission form and email it to us at submission@waimatedc.govt.nz OR
 - c. Drop it into the Council office, 125 Queen Street, Waimate.

All submissions are to reach Council by **4pm Friday 22 May 2020**.

Additional copies of this consultation document can be obtained from the Council office or downloaded from Council's website waimatedc.govt.nz.

Key dates



FRI 22 MAY	Consultation closes at 4pm	WED 3 JUNE	Submission hearings	THU 4 JUNE	Council decisions
---------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------	----------------------

7.2	REPORT ON THE RESULT OF RUBBISH & RECYCLING SERVICES CONSULTATION 2020
------------	---

Author: Leonardo Milani, Policy Analyst

Authoriser: Dan Mitchell, Asset Group Manager

Attachments:

1. **Public Submissions on Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020** [↓](#) 
2. **Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation Document** [↓](#) 

PURPOSE


1. To inform Council as to the outcome of the Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020, conducted in the timeframe of 23 April 2020 - 22 May 2020.

BACKGROUND

2. Public consultation on the proposed scheme conducted in the timeframe of 23 April 2020 - 22 May 2020.
3. 298 submissions received in response, hereby attached to the present report for Council's perusal.
4. Public forum arranged to be held on 4 June 2020 as to enable Council to receive 5 verbal submissions, each in support of their corresponding written submissions.
5. The public were presented with 5 questions, as below:
 - a. "What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?"
 - i. Option A: multi-bin system
 - ii. Option B: 2 bin + glass
 - iii. Option C: current service
 - b. "Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district?"
 - c. "In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations?"
 - d. "Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate?"
 - e. "Are the hours of the Resource Recovery Park adequate?"


STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

6. In response to question 'a', public responses are:
 - f. Option A- multi-bin system: 75% (220/298 in favour)
 - g. Option B- 2 bin + glass: 5% (14/298 in favour)
 - h. Option C- current service: 20% (58/298 in favour)




7. In response to question 'b', public responses are:

- i. Proposed routes extend far enough: 91% (209 in favour)
- j. Proposed routes do NOT extend far enough: 9% (21 in favour)




8. In response to question 'c', public responses are:

- k. Need more rural drop-off stations: 16% (23 in favour)
- l. NO need for more rural drop-off stations: 84% (119 in favour)




9. In response to question 'd', public responses are:

- m. Locations for current rural drop-off stations appropriate: 83% (122 in favour)
- n. Locations for current rural drop-off stations NOT appropriate: 17% (25 in favour)



10. In response to question 'e', public response are:

- o. Hours of Resource Recovery Park adequate: 93% (243 in favour)
- p. Hours of Resource Recovery Park NOT adequate: 7% (19 in favour)



RECOMMENDATION

1. That the Report on the Result of Rubbish & Recycling Services Consultation 2020 is accepted; and
2. That Council provide a decision on the proposed Rubbish & Recycling Services scheme in light of submissions.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
1	A	Yes	No	No	No	The multi bin option is a great idea. It also keeps us up to date with other districts.
2	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We are disappointed that there will be no option of having rubbish bags as we have signed a form to stop getting the red bin from July this year. We typically put it out once a month, so a massive red bin like proposed would only go kerb side for us approximately 6x a year. That seems ridiculous that we (and others who make very little rubbish) will be penalised due to the people who make huge amounts of rubbish.
3	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
4	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
5	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
6	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
7	B	No	Yes	Yes	No	Hook Hook/Waituna Being rural we don't need green bin as we have chickens etc would definitely putt me off having to pay for a bin I would never use.
8	B				Yes	
9	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	I fully support and applaude the Council for taking leadership with the rubbish and recycling options presented. Three things I would like to say to support this submission are as follows: 1. The Morven recycling station is often full and this means that I have to do two trips to drop off my recycling. If you are going to keep this station, it needs more bins. 2. As I do not live in the Morven township, I have to drive my wheelie bin and my elderly neighbours to the Morven township for collection. I am concerned that unless you extend the collection routes for the bins, people without utes or other vehicles capable of transporting the bins wouldn't be able to get all the many new bins to the township. If we want people to recycle, we need to make it as easy as possible. 3. We live down Broad Gully Road, if your collection truck was able to drive to the end of the road and back, 6 km diversion from your current route, this would enable the collection from a further 6 houses.
10	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	I am approaching retirement. Rubbish and recycling collection is difficult for me. I only have a small car and have to take my recycling and rubbish to the Morven township for collection. Although I would love to have the extra bins, I would be concerned about how I would get those bins to the township for collection. As it is, I rely on my son to transport bins for me. My son also transports our other older neighbour's bins, so the extra bins would be a real hassle for him. If the Morven collection route could be extended to include Broad Gully Road and the 6 properties down our road would mean a perfect solution to this problem for me.
11	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
12	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
13	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Wants to know how big the glass crate is on preferred option. Would it be possible to existing recycling crate? What happens to the current 80ltr rubbish bin if preferred option is chosen?
14	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
15	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We are a family of 5 who recycle and compost food and green waste. Definitely oppose status quo. The 140L rubbish bin in option A is too small if collected fortnightly. All bins should be 240L. Recycling options in town required rather than current general rubbish bins provided.
16	A	Yes	No	No	No	I live in the urban so have no idea regarding rural recycling.
17	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
18	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
19	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
20	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Support other initiatives to help people reduce their production of waste in the first place would be good, eg Kate Meads workshops; promoting the use of reusable nappies; home composting info.
21	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	You guys are doing a great job and I think option A is good
22	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
23	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
24	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	Don't really use it With the bins it would be nice to be able to choose which bins we needed. We would only need a glass, recycling and rubbish bins. We wouldn't need a compost bin. If the collection run could extend down Broad Gully Road that would enable up to another 6 properties to have rubbish and recycling collected.
25	B	No	Yes	No	Yes	everywhere possible If not I'd like to see it operate anywhere between 9am - 5pm I only need the smallest of bins as I try to be zero waste, so the survey won't really relate to me. Some people should have a larger bin for recycling. Is there a chance to have a choice of size and which bin would suit each resident, or is it a problem to charge different rates? Other problem is with supplying all these bins there will be even more plastic in the world which will eventually end up in the landfill! Terrible!
26	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We do not require a bin for organics as all our green waste is composted.
27	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
28	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
29	A	Yes	No	No	No	Longer weekend hours at RRP. Many people like ourselves work out of town and the weekend is the only time in the garden and then to get rid of the garden rubbish. Would be good to have a place to drop lawn clippings at any time. Even with green waste bin this soon fills up with only being emptied fortnightly. Pleased the charging at the refuse centre is going to weight based.
30	A	Yes	No	No	Yes	Cannot answer as I do not use it If you are going to keep the Glenavy rural drop off station it needs to be improved as it is untidy with cardboard dumped on the ground which blows all over the surrounding area. The bins are absolutely filthy and don't seem to be ever washed by the contractor. If it can't be improved get rid of it.
31	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	I don't know but I'm forced to answer yes or no n't know but I'm mforced to say yes or no
32	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	I would vote for Option A if we had 3 smaller bins the same as what we have now. I do not have the room or want 3 large bins and I really have no need or have that much rubbish to get rid of.
33	A	Yes	No	No	Yes	
34	A	Yes	No	No	No	
35	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Unsure as don't use them As long as the opening hours are clear, well advertised and don't change all the time.
36	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I typed yes. Who made this form. It is seriously flawed. Glad I didn't get the admin job years ago. This is a joke! But I'm not laughing. I don't want to make a submission for rural services and no "don't know" option. Not fair that it is a compulsory box. I just randomly ticked those. Bigger bins harder to manage especially for the elderly/disabled/sick or injured people. All households are different. Why can't we choose an option for our needs. We are a family of four and never fill either bins and single person households unlikely to either. We don't have glass so why pay for these as an add on to their rates. Not everyone has green waste. We compost. Should be an add on or we could use the bin as a giant planter.
37	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I said yes to the last 2 questions but it wouldn't let me submit unless I wrote something in the boxes, they might be backwards.
38	A	Yes			Yes	
39	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
40	C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Your form is broken. "Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate?". I click "yes", get "if not, what hours would you like to see it operate?"
41	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
42	A	Yes	No	No	Yes	I actually think hours more then adequate for a small town/district I don't mind paying more to ensure that waste is appropriately managed to reduce impact on our environment.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
43	A	Yes	No	No	No	
44	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I am not rural so can't comment
45	A	Yes	No	No	No	Open the RRP a bit earlier in the morning
46	C	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Glenavy public recycling station is nearly always overflowing. Maybe the other side of town somewhere around the school The current services are perfect for our business. Why change it at a huge cost to ratepayers?
47	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
48	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
49	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
50	A				No	Don't know Don't know Don't know 10am - 4.30pm Mon - Sat
51	A					
52	B	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
53	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
54	A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	Will you be recycling more with the bigger bins? Because at this time there's only so many plastics etc that we can't put in our bins, eg meat packs, aerosol cans
55	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I think the greenwaste/food bin should be fortnightly. Weekly is too frequent under option A. It's then easier to remember as they will all be fortnightly and the current greenwaste is every other week.
56	C				Yes	
57	A	Yes			Yes	
58	A		Yes	Yes		If no more rural recycling drop off the I choose option C If not on collection routes then we desperately need more rural drop offs for recycling. Waituna Hall/school would be good for our house. But need more and currently I pay and drive my recycling to town. But new bins won't fit in my car. Having pickups done every second week makes it harder to remember to get bins out and difficult if people are away. The recycling and greewaste/organics should both be picked up every week. I was very sad to see recycling cancelled during lockdown and people urged to throw everything in the rubbish. What a step backwards!! Drop off could have been contactless.
59	A	Yes			Yes	
60	A					
61	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Options A & B too costly Options A & B force me to pay for a service I do not need and will not use. I prefer the basic facilities and whoever needs or wants more than option C should pay for them on an individual basis.
62	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
63	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
64	A					Don't use it If it ends up as option B or C will a green bin collection still be available because this is what we/I use the most?
65	C	No	No	Yes	Yes	
66	A				Yes	
67	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
68	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Retired consulting engineer. I have no views on the rubbish collection arrangements in Waimate District. My submission concerns what should happen with the residual Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) after recovery of resources as far as is practicable and economic. The remaining MSW should be placed in a well engineered landfill with landfill gas capture for electricity generation. Biodegradable paper, plastics and organics will, over time, yield their energy content as clean landfill gas. I note that waste incineration schemes are suggested from time to time. I have reviewed some MSW incineration systems in detail and concluded that they are wholly unsuited to application in New Zealand. Efficient energy recovery from MSW combustion is incompatible with avoidance of dioxin emissions. Environmentally acceptable waste incineration is extremely costly. I would be pleased to comment further to Waimate Council on any seemingly credible MSW incineration schemes that might be put forward. Steve Goldthorpe - Energy Systems Analyst (retired)
69	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
70	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
71	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Seems to work ok now. More bins will be filled with more waste.
72	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Later on weekends 5 or 5.30pm closing time, especially in summer Only concern is the rubbish bin being collected fortnightly. Usually in a week, as a family with two wee ones we struggle with 80 litre capacity. If the bins change to 140ltr bin collected fortnightly we will loose 20 ltrs capacity. We recycle and compost everything we can already.
73	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
74	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	My belief is that the easier you make it for people to recycle and dispose of rubbish the more likely you are to have success with this and the less "fly-tipping" you will see. Option A is in use with a lot of success in UK, notably Surrey, where I had personal experience with it. It is, in my opinion, a very good system that encourages people to do the right thing by making it easy for them to do so.
75	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
76	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	I would be very annoyed if I have to compulsorily have to pay for bins that I do not require. At the moment I only have recycling and know of other older people who are the same. Paying several hundred dollars more would make a big difference on a pension. It's hard enough at times as it is.
77	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
78	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
79	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	As a primary school we want to be able to teach our children why and how to recycle. The current system is too difficult to manage well, and we find that we can't recycle as well as we should. We still rely on a skip. If option A was chosen we would be able to manage and teach the processes of recycling, rubbish and green waste far more efficiently. As a long term future goal, this would be excellent.
80	A				Yes	
81	A	Yes				
82	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The more the better!
83	A					
84	A	Yes		Yes	Yes	
85	A	Yes			Yes	
86	A	Yes			Yes	Re option A - this provides the best outcome for the district but can also impose on some people like us a green/food waste bin that they do not need because they already operate a home composting system. Option A should include the choice of NO organics bin and a commensurate fee reduction where the householder can demonstrate the operation of an adequate home composting system. One local authority known to us that provides this option is Bendigo (Vic) - a council officer visits to ensure an adequate home system is in place.
87	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Some councils across NZ promote and subsidise the use of cloth nappies over disposables to reduce landfill. Could this be considered in the Waimate District? Disposable nappies generate approx 1200kg of waste over a two year period per baby. Considering most children in nappies for longer moving to cloth nappies a financial and environmental plus would be a massive change in waste. Councils such as Waitaki, Ashburton and Timaru have previously adopted a scheme.
88	A				Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
89	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
90	A	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	
91	A	Yes			Yes	
92	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
93	C	Yes	No	Yes		Leave as is. The current contract cost will rise every year faster than the mayors salary. A & B I have limited space, where do I store them, who owns the bins! A & B are putting more vehicles on the road - more Co2. Let the ratepayers arrange their organics and recycling. What has the cost of document and labour costing been to now?
94	A	Yes			Yes	
95	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Option A would be annoying as there would be too many bins. Option B still has too many bins. Why not encourage people to compost all organic waste. WDC could provide compost bins at cost. We would never use the organics bin as we compost everything in 3 heaps. The bin just for glass is a good idea, though we only have the odd oil or wine bottle, do not every week.
96	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
97	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	Extend Sunday 10.30 - 4.30 How can the public be sure that recyclables are actually recycled, and not ultimately put into landfill? There are instances of this occurring. Alleged recycling programmes just being a PR exercise are not unknown. I feel it would be good for transparency in this area. I apologise if there is already a public record regarding this but I have not seen anything relating to this matter.
98	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
99	A	Yes	N/A	N/A		
100	A				Yes	
101	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
102	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
103	A		N/A	N/A	Yes	N/A I assume we get new bins. My green bin has a hole which leaks.
104	A				Yes	
105	A				Yes	
106	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
107	A		N/A	N/A	Yes	
108	A		Yes	Yes	Yes	Doing a great job - thank you
109	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
110	C	Yes			Yes	
111	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Somewhere ou the golf course way for those residents that live that way. I support Option A but I think the red bin should be weekly like the green bin and not fortnightly. We as a family household recycle everything we can and still put out a 3/4 of a bin of rubbish each week. So don't think the families that have more than 4 people living in the house would survive on fortnightly rubbish bin collections.
112	A	No	Yes	No	Yes	
113	A	Yes			Yes	
114	A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Messy
115	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Greenwaste bin is the best idea. Makes it easier for everybody.
116	A	Yes	No	Yes		Don't use it I would prefer it if households were not able to cancel the service. Rural folk may obviously decline a green bin. The admin cost may be prohibitive but for those renting (ie not paying rates) would it be possible for the bin fee to be broken down to eight payments of \$52.90. Most landlords would consider it the tenants responsibility to dispose of their own rubbish and may not want to increase the rent to cover that cost.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
117	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	I feel this questionnaire doesn't give us rate payers the option of none of these choices. I don't believe the choices of bin options promotes or strives towards the council's vision of reducing waste. The fact that the council still uses words like "rubbish" is an indication that the council does not understand the strategies of recycle, re-use, re-purpose, and resource. I would of liked to see an option for those homes that produce very little residual waste are rewarded with reduction of fees and/or given the option to retain small bins, (80 litre or smaller). I would like to see our council promote and manage educational workshops for our schools, businesses, and communities to be the best little town in reducing residual resource to landfill. I would like to see our council supporting community composting, mulching and worm farms. I would like to see our council manage a community charity barn (similar to Timaru's Crows Nest, and Oamaru's Sorted Shop at their Resource Recovery Park), that Waimate's Barn would be a place we could drop off and purchase compost, mulch, firewood, metal, and other no longer required goods for resale in our community. I would like to see our council experiment with innovated solutions to soft plastics, - offer drop off at our supermarket, and recovery park and bale for future use. I would like to see our council offer clothing bins at the resource recovery park, to my knowledge there are no clothing/rag bins in Waimate even though we have two opshops. I would like our council to be creative with solutions, eg can some of this resource be baled with adhesive and used as temporary gabions or road closures? I hope the council adopts a strategy that includes an education program as it top priority. And that the strategy has a planned steps to achieving its vision, because it is a really good vision and it is achievable. "A sustainable community that is able to reuse, recycle, and recover discarded resources and minimize residual waste to landfill, while ensuring protection of public health and the environment.
118	A	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	
119	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
120	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I suggest that the rubbish and recycling in Waimate be kept at the present status quo as after having worked for 9 plus years in the rubbish and recycling industry I have seen numerous times how the reduction of the ability to dispose of rubbish through the council collection system lead to roadside dumping throughout the area. This thereby leads to environmental problems as well as greater cost to the council/ratepayers to clean up these dump sites. Refer to submission to read it in full
121	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
122	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
123	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
124	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	I feel that the rubbish and recycling are adequate, we have the option of paying for green waste bin if need. Maybe a bit more education is needed
125	C	No	No	Yes	Yes	
126	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Glad that council is finally updating their systems - it's been a long time coming!!
127	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
128	A	No	No	No		Don't use it I would like to see option A extended to include all rural residents. Under the options what provision is there for rural residents to dispose of food/green waste after 30 June 2021? I note under option A "a new collection service of recycling glass and organics will be provided" for rural residents in certain areas. What does this mean and what areas? The recycling centre at Holme Station is an eyesore and needs to close. A lot of recycling is not binned correctly and there is always rubbish dumped illegally. Refer to full submission for more and includes photos taken 8 May 2020.
129	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	9am - 4pm

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
130	A	No	No	No		N/A- As stated over page Rubbish dropped off at the transfer station in Waimate should be user pays with NO rates input as rural users often don't use it. We should have a wheelie bin for recycling (like TDC do) although no green wheelie bin for green waste for rural addresses and no rates charge for this when rural either.
131	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
132	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ikawai Hall Have an e-waste collection point. Set up an actual recycling centre and recycle our own and possibly other towns recyclables. An awesome business opportunity.
133	C	Yes			Yes	
134	B	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Option B would do away with our recycling bins. This hopefully would stop the idiots that just dump anything around the nbins and make a great mess, and attract vermin.
135	A					Prefer to have weekly collection of waste rubbish bin around the outskirts
136	A	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Where are the drop offs not the dump
137	A				Yes	
138	A				Yes	
139	A	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nosh area? Along the Waitaki River area? And Hook Bush?
140	B	Yes			Yes	
141	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
142	A	Yes			Yes	
143	C	Yes			Yes	I would like to advise you that some of the drivers of the rubbish collection trucks are quite rough when putting the bins down we have damage to the wheels of ours.
144	A					Consider providing a brochure/fridge magnet with bin collection days shown.
145	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We currently use the Recycling Station at St Andrews approx once a fortnight. Also have no use for a general rubbish disposal. Happy to stick with STATUS QUO. Thank you.
146	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
147	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
148	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I believe we either need more bins or they need to be emptied more often in the St Andrews recycling drop off station.
149	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
150	A	Yes	N/A	No	Yes	If the present drop off at Glenavy is to be retained then it needs to be relocated to a more public area to discourage the dumping of rubbish and also a better method of collecting cardboard would be required I strongly support Option A. The present recycling drop off at Glenavy is a total mess with the rubbish that is illegally dumped there. Also it is used by many non-ratepayers who reside in the Waitaki District just across the river.
151	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Found this form very difficult to locate on this website. For a couple, a single person or small family the large proposed 240 bins are far too large. Personally we would just need 3 x 80 litre bins, we only half fill our 80 litre bin each week currently, some weeks only 25% fill. We also make our own compost so once again a 80 litre greenwaste/food waste bin would be more suitable. The small bins are also so much easier to handle for older folk and require less storage space. Council could save ratepayers many dollars by reusing the 80 litre bins for some smaller households, just paint the lids green and yellow and offer those ratepayers a reduction in waste collection. I think this size/cost reduction option must be made available for the ratepayers to consider. Thank you

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
152				Yes		As I identify as a rural ratepayer I request my property be removed from the compulsory St Andrews area. I believe the Rubbish and Recycling service should be an optional service and its viability should be planned over the district as a whole and not by targeting selected groups of households. The cost of the service is not always financially viable for all households therefore should not be imposed. The proposed St Andrews compulsory collection area also seems to exclude a number of houses, one of those houses being our local Councillors!! Please remove my property from the proposed compulsory area.
153	A				Yes	
154	A				Yes	
155	A	Yes			Yes	Thank you for the good service and opportunity for the submission.
156	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	We should be working together with the Timaru District Council to have a South Canterbury wide state of the art recycling system. This is a logical step and will provide a healthier environment in the long run. We have for too long had a less than sustainable system. Now is the chance to step up. Lets take this opportunity to step up. Future generations will thank us.
157	A	Yes			No	9am - 4pm Mon - Sat. Noon - 4pm Sun
158	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
159	A	Yes			Yes	
160	A	Yes	No			B second option If everyone had the recycling and glass and rubbish bins no need for recycling centres. May be able to drop them if A or B above are established. Never use Both A & B options are excellent. In the country the large green bin isn't necessary as we are able to compost waste. Think also of the storage of those large bins for smaller properties (ugly if have to be stored at front of house, ps are you going to compost your greenwaste then sell?) I think the recycling and rubbish bins should be mandatory for everyone including farmers. Awful examples of farms burning waste or leaving bailage plastic in paddocks obvious all around this area. I don't really have a preference either A or B thank you. Need to rethink use of recyclables too.
161	C			Yes	Yes	
162	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
163	A				No	Probably not. Many people now work anytime and any day, not just 9-5 weekdays Could a collection of rubbish such as that old fridge, sofa, bricks from a chimney etc be arranged once or twice a year. This may help with illegal dumping in river beds and charity shop doorways. I think that taking in our small population base our council serves us well, but with new technology coming all the time it could be even better. If we want all mod-cons itd will come with a cost, thus this new form of collection will also.
164	A	Yes			No	standardise 10am to 4pm daily
165	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
166	C		No	Yes		We think a lot of Timaru people use Hoilme Station depot! Don't know where it is. We think the man that has to clean up the mess at Holme Station does a great job. Sometimes the mess there is disgusting.
167	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
168	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
169	A				Yes	
170	A				Yes	
171	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
172	A					
173	A	Yes			Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
174	C	Yes			Yes	We have a very good composting system, which in time we put back on our gardens. We take extra care to make sure our recycling can not be blown away. We have VERY little recycling of glass. We DON'T have the room for extra bins!! We want option C
175	A				Yes	I have chosen option A but I think the rubbish and glass bins need to be collected weekly.
176	C			Yes		I can't speak for other areas but for us in Makikihi this system has worked really well. We have very little rubbish as such but find that having it collected at the gate makes life easy. We love it. And the recycling for us works perfectly (if only everyone would separate their stuff correctly!). It doesn't help when travellers dump a carload of stuff off either, but not sure how to stop that.
177	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
178	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	I am supporting option A because we need additional green waste disposal
179	C	No	N/A	N/A	Yes	<p>I live in a household where we work on a zero waste basis. We compost, recycle and what little waste we produce we either put in a Council rubbish bag (occasionally) or take and pay for at the Resource Recovery Park. We already work to reduce our rubbish. I'm struggling to understand Council's statement/ heading on page 3 "reducing rubbish keeps costs down" Keep costs down for whom? Your preferred Option A is going to SIGNIFICANTLY INCREASE the cost for my household and 363 others who already reduce their rubbish to the landfill and currently elect not to receive a red bin in the area proposed to become compulsory. Our neighbour notices we aim for zero waste, that we don't have a red bin and that we do compost. His few words summed up Council's preferred options A & B when he said "You're screwed!" In fact, not only are we screwed but there will be about 363 other households who will also be "SCREWED".</p> <p>On page 4, Option A indicates that an advantage of this option is "there will be an increased service provided for some members of the community". This means providing and charging for a service that some members don't want or need. It is only an advantage to those households who already produce waste and will be subsidised by those that don't. You are penalising households who are doing the right thing (reducing waste) and you are making it compulsory for them to subsidise those that aren't.</p> <p>Page 7 refers to the weight based charging for Resource Recovery Park. The document says this will "ensure a fair and equitable charging mechanism for users". Why is Council not considering the same "fair and equitable charging mechanism for the Options A & B? What does this mean? If you do not need a red bin and/or a green bin then you can opt out. The allocation and charging of red and green bins should NOT be compulsory. Providing more bins does not necessarily translate to less waste. What should we be doing? Helping people to compost themselves. I have lived in places where Councils have provided free compost bins to residents that want them so they can compost at home.</p> <p>Under Option A the \$265-\$342 annual increase to urban and rural households currently receiving a recycling crate only is SIGNIFICANT. This becomes even more significant for those on fixed incomes and financially impacted by COVID-19. Significant financial stress on these households= significant stress on their mental health and well being. If Council's vision for waste management includes "ensuring protection for public health" then you are setting yourselves up to fail in your vision as public health includes mental health and well-being.</p> <p>I recognise that Council needs to pay for essential services in our community. However, don't assume that the compulsory provision and charging for red and green bins is an essential service for ALL households. This is not an essential service for those households who don't need it because they are already doing the right thing by practicing ways of zero waste within their own property.</p>
180	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
181	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
182	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
183	A			No		I have lived in the Waimate District for 20 years and have experienced various proposals that Council has presented regarding rubbish and recycling. Option A suits our budget and the way that recycling, waste disposal is best managed. The disposal of greenwaste has always been a problem. I chip and screed my greenwaste and grass clippings to make compost with leaves and small twigs going into the rubbish bin. Weeds are not suitable for composting. The greenwaste bin will be very useful to us. The recycling drop off located in St Andrews has been a dumping ground for rubbish as persons outside the District create the waste problem, travelling camper vans, persons vacating properties. Material lying around creates an eyesore to the village. When bins are full loose paper and plastic is blown around. A noise problem is also created with glass being tipped into the bins. The proposal in Option A to have each household have a recycling bin will eliminate the recycling drop off and hence remove the problem that I have referred to. Close the St Andrews recycling drop off. Relocate in the rural area at the intersection of Blue Cliffs Road and Middle Road this will enable rural areas to have drop off recycling. Plant out the present recycling drop off area with native planting to compliment the existing planting. Council should produce some guidance as to what plastic is suitable for recycling. Most clear hard plastic is recyclable, soft plastic is a cause for confusion. That concludes my submission and I would like to thanks Council to be able to express my views on the proposal.
184	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
185	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
186	A	Yes		Yes	Yes	
187	A				Yes	
188	B	Yes			Yes	At present I receive a green bin from Metallic Sweeping Ltd so have selected option B. If this service will no longer be available after 30 June 2021 I would select option A.
189	A	Yes			Yes	
190	A				No	8am - 5pm Option A is good with the exception of the fortnight collection of the rubbish bin. On page 2 health and safety legislation is mentioned. There is nothing safe or healthy about a stinking rubbish bin sitting around for two weeks especially in warm weather. Can you really imagine dirty nappies and fish and meat wrappers sitting for two weeks, also cat litter. This would be totally unsanitary. We already fill our rubbish bin to the top each week. All compost material goes into our own compost bins and we recycle all recyclable items. The proposal of a proper recycling bin with lid is long overdue and a wonderful idea. At present collectors spill items onto the street and into the gutter and leave them there!
191	C	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
192	A				Yes	I was unable to comment on the rural area drop off stations and collection routes or locations for the drop off stations. I am strongly for option A for the township of Waimate. It is strongly needed. The only problem with option A is I feel the rubbish bin should be collected weekly and the greenwaste bin fortnightly or make the greenwaste bin the size of the rec bin and collect weekly. Thank you.
193	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	10.30am - 5pm M-F, same time for weekends Happy to have more options and good to see the Council including greenwaste. The idea of a lidded recycle bin is fantastic, will stop the rubbish blowing everywhere.
194	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
195	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
196	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	Please extend our collection run to include Gum tree flat road, Green hills road and Wallace road block. We as well as our neighbours have to drive our bins down the road for about 5km. The multiple bins at the current collection spot are dropped off on a Monday and are left lying in the berm for days before being collected again, if these were collected from house hold gates this would eradicate this issue.
197	A				Yes	
198	C	Yes	No		Yes	
199	A				Yes	
200	A				Yes	We would like to recycle also the batteries- household batteries. They are poison for the soil!
201	C					

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
202	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
203	A	Yes		Yes	Yes	
204	A				Yes	I'm not putting my red bin out weekly now as it is never full. Sometimes it can take me up to a month for a semi full bin.
205	B	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	As we have a compost bin, and animals to eat the greenwaste, we have no need for a green bin. Therefore, we are not prepared to pay for something we are not going to use.
206	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	Three bin system is rural areas would be appropriate to prevent "rubbish fires" especially on lifestyle blocks close to town, for example Timaru Road, Maytown Road, Painstown Road, Parsonage Road, Upper High Street and so on.
207	A	No		Yes		Option A if it is at my gate We live on Marshall Road, Otaio, where there are twelve houses. Could you please include us in rubbish bin pick-up, eg for the same distance on Otaio River Road there is only 3 houses.
208	A	Yes			Yes	In making option A as my preferred choice I wish to draw attention to the number of bins/crates which may be involved for the 17 residents in Browns Avenue. Could consideration be given to a vehicle coming up and emptying the bins/glass crates at the respective driveways please? A similar service to that which we have now, Mr Walker and his team empty our respective crates each Thursday, and this is greatly appreciated by all of us. I personally would be thankful for this to happen as it would cut down the trips to Shearman Street. In addition there will possibly be a large number of said bins and or crates every two weeks on Shearman Street. This could also be a problem for collecting. Please could I ask for choice to be given to supplying a smaller organic green bin for residents who will find a larger bin hard to manage.
209	A					I notice the charges are dwelling. I would like an option of being able to opt out of the charge all together. As I do support the 3 bin system plus glass crate but as I have 2 flats in 6B/6C Hayes Street with only one person living in each flat it would make sense for them to share the bins and crate. There saving me \$423 per year and less issues to where to store the extra bins. Personally I think recycling other then removing metal and glass doesn't financially stack up without subsidies - tax payers money. Burn the stuff turn it into electric power. Refer to page of questions.
210	B	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
211	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	This system worked very well in Timaru when we lived there. More spaces for recycling meant you didn't need the large rubbish (red) bin. The green bin is also a fantastic option in the urban areas. Not everyone has access to trailers etc for disposal of green and garden waste.
212	A				Yes	We have no problems with 3 bin system although we process most of our own organic waste surplus free green days would be good. Free kerbside recycling a couple of times a year. However costs for the recycling of hardware/computers etc are high. After lockdown there will be a high demand but it is costly. There are free services in major cities and if you want to stop fly dumping of old fridges etc the cost to recycle appropriately must be minimal or nothing. Communication re days/bins etc will need to improve. Not everyone uses Facebook or has a computer.
213	C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Rural option 80 ltr bins the current rural drop off cannot be supervised by locals and is widely used by Waitaki district citizens creating a mess. Glenavys recycling needs to be closer to school or pool area and back of hall with a concrete pad in an enclosed area with more bins or similar for cardboard. As we compost and recycle we do not have a rubbish bin and only pay for recycling and pay for a small rubbish bin as we pay rates to Mackenzie District Council. We think residents in Glenavy area should be given the option of having 80 ltr rubbish bins and should be encouraged to be the normal as lots of residents compost. We think Sth Canterbury Councils should investigate a glass shattering machine then it could like other areas be used for road and footpath building it has many uses.
214	A				Yes	
215	B	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The only reason I have ticked option B is because I would not require an organic bin - I have no lawn or garden and would be paying for a service which I do not require. Otherwise option A would be a logical option.
216	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
217	A					
218	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
219	A		Yes		Yes	
220	A		Yes	No		Or made bigger as St Andrews is often full - but if replaced by bin collection then expansion not necessary St Andrews depot disturbs local residents and is unsightly with no shelter etc. Perhaps a district wide campaign on home compost, tools, info and a push for home vegetable patches as use for compost could help our community and resolve rubbish green waste issues.
221	A	Yes	No	No	Yes	St Andrews depot is not appropriate, noise, hours open, vermin, fire risk The current system is outdated.
222	A				Yes	Size of greenwaste bin could be a problem for us elderly residents. Since collection option A would be weekly perhaps a smaller size could also be purchased. I regret the loss of rubbish bags as rubbish in our household if food goes into the greenwaste would be almost non-existent.
223	A	Yes				
224	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	I/we move to option A. It should reduce our rubbish going to the landfill a win win for Waimate and will be a great service.
225	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
226	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
227	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	1. Rubbish bin collection done fortnightly will potentially become a hygiene/health and safety issue by possibly attracting more rodents/pests in areas where waste has accumulated. It will also provide an environment conducive to growing bacteria whilst creating more unpleasant odours in our community. 2. As a smaller household, we presently put out 1 Council bag a month to go to the Resource Recovery Park. We recycle as best we can, so as to keep our costs down and reduce our carbon footprint. All the options on offer will be a substantial increase to our household - especially considering that we will not fully utilize the services, as we will continue to manage our carbon footprint. We are happy to cover the costs of bins but feel that a "pay-as-you-use" cost should apply for collections. This will save conscientious rate-payers money and, potentially, could create an incentive for others to reduce their waste. Bins could possibly be fixed with QR codes or bar codes that are recorded at the time of collection and we could be billed accordingly.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
228	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	<p>I object most strongly to council's proposal to designate dwellings in the Willowbridge settlement area as requiring compulsory collection services. The collection service for this area should remain voluntary, as it is now. Councils rubbish and recycling services consultation document identifies green waste and food waste as the prime problem, in future needing to be separated from the landfill waste stream, as not being accepted by Timaru district's landfill, so in principles, the idea of extending the wheelie bin system to include a separate bin for organics, (as per option A) is fair enough, BUT in the case of the dwellings in the Willowbridge settlement area, council seems to have looked at these properties, and because they look like a street, decided to treat them in the same way as the Waimate urban area. Council needs to look again, each of these dwellings are sitting on 5 acres of land. People living in these dwellings are perfectly able to dispose of their food waste and their green waste by sustainable methods such as composting, worm farms, feeding food waste to cats, dogs, chickens and mulching and by properly managed occasional small contained fires. In the case of my mother, who owns one of these properties, she recycles assiduously, has a compost pile, and a companion animal and occasionally will have a small contained fire to dispose of larger garden material. The only waste that she needs to take to landfill consists of mainly non- recyclable plastics, and the occasional broken or unwanted small item made of mixed materials. This landfill contribution will amount to no more than 5 bags per year, which will cost her \$7 each when she takes them to the landfill, a grand cost total for her annually of \$35. Council's consultation document identifies that under the proposal to make collection compulsory for the Willowbridge settlement dwellings her cost will rise by \$342 annually. This is patently unfair, because Willowbridge settlement residents, can and do as I have explained, competently and sustainably dispose of all of their food waste and green waste on their own properties. Surely council should be encouraging all residents to do this!</p> <p>Council's proposal to make collection services compulsory for properties in the Willowbridge settlement area is poorly thought out, and amounts to an unwarranted revenue grab. Council must leave collection services for the Willowbridge settlement area on a voluntary basis as it is now and find another way to balance it's rubbish and recycling budget.</p> <p>On a more personal note, my mother lives alone and her only income is National Superannuation, this is a position that council should recognize is the reality for many Waimate district residents. The imposition of an additional cost of \$342 per annum (oh, yes of course, less the \$35 she will save on those 5 bags she currently takes to landfill) is highly significant and upsetting for her. Our government has been generous enough to recognize that people in my mothers situation need additional financial assistance, and have created the Winter Energy Payment, and although it has been doubled for 2020 due to COVID-19, it was for 2019 (and will likely be for 2021 and future years) an amount for a single super annuitant living alone of \$20.46 per week for around 21 weeks, lets say \$430. Council's proposal to designate propitiates in the Willowbridge settlement area as compulsory for collection services will mean that what central government has given to my mother, will be largely wiped out by what local government has (unfairly) taken from her!</p>
229	C	Yes	N/A	N/A	No	<p>Earlier opening, suggest 9am or even earlier.</p> <p>It is disappointing and annoying that the current refuse collection team are operating their large truck before the contracted time frame. Council instructions are to have bins kerbside by 7am on collection day. This is not working when the truck is collecting bin contents before 6am in our area.</p> <p>In the past 2 months to date, I have spoken directly to Paul at Council and sent a snap send solve report and heard nothing and still there is no change to being rudely woken on collection day. Why are these current operators allowed to work outside contracted times, this indeed must be adhered to so residents are not disadvantaged. This requested adherence to operating times ensures residents (firstly are not rudely awoken and secondly) do not have bins missed should they follow Council instructions and place bins prior to 7am. This is no good to anyone if the truck has passed their gate well before 7am. It is further understood that only under special circumstances are the contractors allowed to operate after 6am. This is further being breached by the current contractors who are consistently operating before 6am. I would strongly recommend the contract renewal team seek a fresh start with a new operator as clearly the current company is consistently flouting the bylaws and have no consideration for residents.</p>
230	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	<p>We definitely need to have a recycling bin with a lid as product gets wet when it rains. It would be great to have greenwaste paid for as part of rates rather than a separate bin supplier.</p>

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
231	A	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	
232	A	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	
233	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I do not fill my rubbish bin or recycling bin every week. All household waste I put into my garden, ie greenwaste and food waste. I do not need an organic waste bin.
234	C	Yes			No	9am - 4.30pm Mon-Sat, 10am - 4.30pm Sun.
235	A	No	No	Yes	No	Weekends need to open earlier
236	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	It is important to retain recycling bins at Makikihi. They are well used.
237	A	Yes	Yes		Yes	Glass, plastic bags at New World Waimate Other than travelling all the way to refuse centre, provide an area in town for recycling common waste, glass, paper and plastic. Please consider plastic bag recycling, most common waste product.
238	A					
239	A	Yes			Yes	
240	C				Yes	We want a 80 urban rubbish bin and a 60 litre urban recycling crate. Thank you
241	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
242	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I have selected option A, but I believe the glass collector to be far too small for a 2 week collection. It should be eliminated and allow people to put glass in yellow (as they do in Timaru). The main thing that I need is the green bin as we have nowhere to dispose of it locally.
243	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I feel that the present system of collection of both rubbish and recycling is adequate and appears to be carried out in an efficient manner. Having recourse to the recycling centre is convenient if excess waste is accumulated.
244	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
245	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	I am 90 years of age and since 14 years of age I have worked and paid without question every single cent I have owed, including rates and taxes. My wife and I reared six children as well as having both worked in responsible positions of public service. We have never bought more food than the family could eat and have always grown our own fresh produce, any surplus of which was given away or turned into compost for use in our garden. Our rubbish has been genuine rubbish, we never had food or green waste. Recycled materials have been submitted correctly, washed if necessary. We are completely satisfied with option 'C'. We object strongly to the preferred option A, in that we would be subsidising people who buy food to throw away and/or are too lazy or undisciplined to deal properly with green waste. If you are genuinely sorting and disposing of recyclable materials, I would suggest that perhaps a better system would be to have recycling stations like those currently in use in rural areas and this would obviate the need for Council staff to be sorting recycled materials. I make that suggestion in the belief that some Councils are dumping recycled material in landfill sites regardless. Perhaps I am wrong in my assumption but I see broken glass as genuine rubbish which should be treated as such.
246	C	Yes	No	No	Yes	Needs to be in a more open area 'with cameras' to prevent organic rubbish
247	A					
248	B	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Wrights Crossing Road, Haka Valley & Cattle Creek Hall Recycling will become a big problem with paper not being recycled in North Otago. Will the same happen in Waimate DC also why are plastics that are not being recycled being manufactured. Lastly can the companies tendering for this service be NZ owned companies only please. Keep it local, thank you.
249	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Sorry we do not need rubbish collection, we have very little and mostly gets burnt, so do not wish to pay for something we don't use.
250	A					If the multi-bin system is adopted, will there still be recycling drop-off stations? Our only query regarding rubbish collection is in regard to changed days due to public holidays. Is there a simple way to notify people of an altered date? Last Christmas/New Year our road missed the collection as it came the day before. The newspaper reported collection days after the collection and it didn't pertain to our area.
251	A					
252	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Please do not punish us with evermore increasing rates, when we are on a fixed income being superannuation.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
253	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	
254	C					
255	A	Yes			Yes	
256			No	Yes	Yes	Use the Waihao Downs drop off. Adequate. Never untidy , very well placed.
257	C	Yes			Yes	
258	A				Yes	
259	A	Yes			Yes	
260	A				Yes	
261	B	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Are you going to listen to us this time. When we started this last time we wanted bin for recycling and you gave us a black crate. So how much is this costing us as a ratepayer for the feedback if you listen to us back when we wanted this we would not be in this situation.
262	A				Yes	
263	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
264	A				Yes	
265	A					
266	A	Yes			Yes	Will a weighbridge be installed @the recycling centre? Will the weight be measured before and after the trailer comes through or will a tare weight sticker be placed on the trailer?
267	A	Yes			Yes	Need to measure the level of demand with extra drop off stations. Talk to locals Fully support decision to reduce waste with 3 bin system.
268	B	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	I question the cost difference between options A & B being only \$8 per annum. We compost therefore do not require a green organics bin and feel making this bin compulsory under option A encourages wasting of organic matter when it is quite a valuable resource for home gardens.
269	C	Yes			Yes	Urban business/commercial - most will not require an organics option, therefore the preferred option increase is subsidising urban households; and would be better served with more recyclables capacity. Option A would be more attractive if rubbish was collected weekly, and others fortnightly, rubbish more likely to contain items that would lead to unhealthy smells/decomposition, ie nappies, feminine hygiene, kitty litter, medical waste, cooking oils/fat.
270	C	Yes			Yes	Regardless of option A,B or C the red bin (rubbish) needs a weekly collection. A larger bin would be a useful benefit also. I would not want nappies, feminine hygiene products and cooking oils/fat sitting around for 2 weeks. If continuing with option C a larger recycling bin would be useful and this could be collected fortnightly (140ltr) as there will be no smells. All of above is a great option D.
271	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Ideally, I would like to see everyone take responsibility for minimising their waste by buying less packaged goods, avoid takeaways and plastic wraps. I have written to various manufacturers to improve their packaging, with little success (all promise and no action). But if everyone did this, they might change. We live a rampant consumer lifestyle and this has to change (well it did during lockdown). I would prefer to go for Option C, but obviously that was not working because some people cannot take responsibility. I am concerned that some low income people will be subsidising others, and would like to see a lower rate for pensioners etc that only fill their bins occasionally. I compost all my green waste, burn paper (in an approved log fire in winter) and have very little plastic and glass. Perhaps we could charge extra for people who create the most waste. Well done team, thanks for letting us have our say.
272	A	No	No	Yes	Yes	We are a rural household with one 240 litter bin and recycling drop off service. As far as I can tell there will be no improvement in service for us regardless of which option we choose, so why is there a cost different between the different options for us? It looks like rural households like ours are subsidizing an improved service for the Urban households. I prefer Option A, but I don't see why we should pay any more than the cost of Option C if our current service is not going to improve.
273	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Can't happen soon enough, Waimate needs a multi-bin system.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
274	A	Yes	No	No		Not suitable right next to a park area, particularly where children play. Have witnessed kids leaping over fence where glass sheets were leaning on fence. Live in St Andrews, so wouldn't use it.
275	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	The organics bin that will be compulsory for rural properties is likely to be unnecessary. One risk that doesn't seem to have been considered is that with the cost and number of bins for rural properties it likely that even more households will just burn their rubbish and recycling in a pit or a drum. This already happens a lot, and very close to town. Any reduction in waste volumes that the council receives because of this outcome will have a greater negative environmental impact. If the council goes ahead with 'Option A' they should engage more with rural households to encourage full use of the system.
276	A	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	A drop of recycling centre near the intersection of Horsnells road and SH1 near Headford propagators. -44.797 636, 171.097 395 would be good for folks in the area east of the SH1 up gum tree flat road and surrounding areas. Rather than driving into Morven. Thank you for your consideration. Another option could be on the corner on the dead ground of gum tree flat where it turns 90 degrees -44.792337, 171.077 568. Thank you. this one would serve the rural community between SH1 and SH 72. Thank you for running this feedback survey. Hopefully you will get some good ideas.
277	A	No	Yes	N/A	Yes	A drop off centre could be placed near the intersections of Horsnells Road and SH1 near Headford propagators. grid - 44.797 636, 171. 097 395. Another location could be on the 90 degree corner, with flat open ground on gum tree flat road grid -44.792 337, 171.077 568. This one would serve the rural community between SH1 and SH72 at Waihao forks. Thank you for your consideration.
278	A	Yes	N/A	Yes	No	Adequate, but other options could be considered, ie open 3 days during the week - 10am to 4pm plus open at weekends (2 days) 10am - 4pm Option A makes the most logical sense namely: all controlled by one organisation (WDC) people encouraged to separate materials (empowerment) possibly of working in with neighbouring local body (economy of scale) eg Timaru DC caters for forthcoming Government requirements most importantly gets the ratepayers/households thinking and working on waste minimisation. Our only concern is for people living alone on a small section - little waste/recyclables COSTS for them.
279	C	Yes	No	N/A	Yes	In our personal situation our 80 litre rubbish bin only goes out once a fortnight where as the recycling crate goes out weekly. Where has the education been and policing of rubbish if contamination is a problem. When the current waste collection started there were to be cameras on the rubbish trucks, is this no longer the case. How do we get rid of our food waste? We have a compost bin in the garden with active worms and other little breaking down creatures, where we never fill this container because the material we put in gets broken down as quickly as we put it in. This was my education those years ago when recycling was strongly promoted and saw what a woman was doing at Rakaia. There appears to be where has this education of late come from council. As for the Green Waste what is wrong with this continuing with this service for those that want it, I know putting house hold scraps in green waste is a problem so that would still have to be outlawed. I notice around where I live a lot of older widowed people have gardeners who come in and mow the lawns and remove weeds so there is no need for them to have a green waste bin. Our green waste because we have a large section is taken away by the trailer load to rot down on the farm so I have no need for green waste bin. The waste plan Council is proposing looking out for the next 25 years is very optimistic when I read about the Southland Councils debate that is going on there suggesting that recycle material might have to go to landfill in the future. God forbid if we all have to have 5 large bins in our gardens as is being suggested as by Ministry of Environment. We can not rely on other countries taking our waste, is it not time that some real effort is put into the theme of recycle reuse in our own country. Having just returned from doing Meals on Wheels makes you realise how many older folk make up this towns population and the number that are widowed. How many times is it said one size doesn't fit all, it is so easy to have a blanket fit which for administration purposes is so easy, councillors and council staff try to think of the make up of this community, there needs and what they can pay for.
280	A	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	The three bin option is one of the better ideas regarding the general rubbish and recycling for our wee town, can only see great benefits if we are lucky enough to secure Option A. The bin sizes seem more appropriate for an average household.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
281	A	Yes	No	No		Glenavy - on back road not visible constantly needs security camera. Education needed and bins checked. Glenavy Recovery Depot - There is an ongoing problem with outsiders bringing (horsefloat) recyclables into our county. Many people dropping off black bags of rubbish - beside the bins which are torn open and scattered around the area. Cardboard not adequately catered for. A lean-to or covered cage needs to be provided to keep cardboard dry. Glenavy sign needs to be visible - not behind bins. Alternatively WDC should look at design used in Methven - a metal oblong box with circular holes (about the size of a small plate) bins behind holes and a larger box in behind for paper and cardboard with slots like a letterbox, this ensures cardboard is flattened. A metal padlocked bar allows access to the rear to empty containers, placed towards the roadside, for the collection agent. Thought with each resident having bins will we need to have so many recycling stations? Perhaps this needs regular assessment.
282	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Re the article in the Timaru Herald, 29-4-20. There is a lot of merit in the proposal that Murray Ludemann proposes. The amount of plastics that are still going to 'tips' is ridiculous when in this day and age that it is possible to filter almost 100% of the gases and nasties out that an industrial furnace capable of running some power source that can be provided by a combustible material is not being booked into. In Singapore their rubbish is recycled and the combustible material and other material including, I have been told, solid sewerage waste is all incinerated and the ash is used as landfill in their ambition to make Singapore 50% bigger than it was at the end of World War 2. The amount of recyclable material still going to waste because of the cost of recovery and then transporting etc is just ridiculous.
283	C				Yes	Education targeted at those who require it. Checking each bin and rejecting some bins. Individual costs per bins for those who do not wish to compost. Is it economic to pay \$8 for Greenbin in option A when option B is only \$8 less. There are alot of people trying to do the right thing and they, along with the elderly would be paying alot more. Option C but add the organic bin for your quoted \$8. Why does recycling crate jump in price with option C?
284	C			Yes	Yes	I spoke to Dan on Thursday 21 May @ 1.30pm as I am out of the compulsory area and I am only receiving kerb side recycling collection. This is all I would like or to take to the recycling drop off myself for less money towards running it. Please let me know how much for each option before so I can decide. Thank you. For you property in town I would like to have current service the red refuse bin and recycling bin please. I see you are asking us what choice we would like so I would like the current one not the majority in the street or community. I can't see on this form were it states this. Only option B (3 bins) might be compulsory if you don't already or not currently receiving a rubbish bin and our address does.
285					Yes	Do we have a say as to bin size? As I live in Browns Ave and room is a problem. So it would be very helpful to have bin size taken into account. In my case yellow bin and green bin same size as the red bin we have now would be just great and 60 Ltr for glass. Also I watch Carers come and in some cases take bins out for ones who can't. The Carer workload is great enough and in my mind its quite unfair as they are such good people. Respect and compassion as a Council should be a consideration.
286	C				Yes	My choice has to be Option C as a single person household I do not require large bins for anything. I would be happy for the 80 ltr bin and the recycle bin being collected "FORTNIGHTLY", as this is how I have been using the service up to now. As for the black bin being for glass only, I would be lucky if I had one piece of glass per week. I no longer have a greenwaste bin and would never need one weekly, even when the grass needed to be cut. (Approx 7/8 months of the year). Also, I do not want my small backyard cluttered up with a row of large bins that I cannot ever fill. Whatever happened to the idea of user pays. If option A is your preferred one, do people like myself have any other choices? I really do hope so.
287					Yes	I do not need or want a green bin. I do not use a red bin. I put out a recycle bin about one a month. I am a pensioner living on my own and do not need a big increase to pay for bins. I recycle or compost. I do not think I should be penalised for being careful and thoughtful.
288	B				Yes	The consultation document does provide some basic information that would affect ratepayers but I would wish to see evidence of the research and some basic science that has been considered by Council. Policy input requires more than simply cost and convenience matters as shown in the document. I would submit that with respect to the Waimate urban refuse policy review in order to control increasing costs ongoing, that it be necessary to re-examine, collection methods, collection stations, re-public education/behaviour. It remains as an updated model of the night cart, lacking in any vision to incorporate cost saving efficiencies for urban ratepayers.
289	C	Yes			Yes	My submission is for option C but with the addition of an organics bin. I believe that the co-mingled collection of recycling depreciates the quality and therefore the value of recyclable material.

Number	Preferred Option	Proposed collection routes	More Rural drop-off	Current Rural drop-off	RRP Hours	Comments
	Op A = 220 Op B = 14 Op C = 58	Yes = 209 No = 21	Yes = 23 No = 119 N/A = 58	Yes = 122 No = 25 N/A = 59	Y = 243 N = 19	
290		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Option A or C Option A looks OK, BUT as I live in a rural area and have a recycling depot just down the road I do not want 4 bins to look after. At present I take my 240ltr bin 1/2 km down to the pick up point. I won't need the recycling and glass bins if option A comes in. At present I empty my rubbish about once a month and with the amount of organics I throw out it would get little use. If the above option is not workable I vote for option C (already have a small recycling bin).
291	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
292	A	Yes			Yes	If possible there should be preferably an option to opt out of A for people who don't have a garden that produces a lot of greenwaste. I chose A as the cheaper of the lot for me since metallic sweeping raised the fee for the green bin with no less than 48%. This was done surreptitiously by only changing the term on the invoice. Were one not really paying attention one would have presumed the 12 months term applied again! Not nice at all!!
293	C	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
294	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
295	A					
296	C	No	No	Yes		Would like the rubbish service to extend to 840 Blue Cliffs Road We need more cardboard bins at the St Andrews recycling station as they are always full and excess cardboard lying on the ground. A sign saying what you can recycle would be helpful as often there are items in bins which I think you can't recycle like plastic bags, bottle tops, chip wrappers etc. Thanks
297	A	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
298			Yes	Yes No		Pareora Yes - convenient from Maungati going to town and No as some people abuse the location by leaving unsuitable rubbish. Perhaps if the Pareora Huts community were willing to have recycling bins in their domain they would get less abused by "fly tippers" leaving unsuitable household rubbish which is non-recyclable??? I am grateful to the council for providing bins at Holme Station and clearing them 2 x week. it may be necessary to have an extra plastic and extra cardboard one, especially at holiday times.



Let's Chat

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation Document



FEEDBACK REQUIRED! Consultation closes 4pm Friday 22 May 2020

waimatedc.govt.nz



Waimate
District Council

Waimate District rubbish and recycling services

We heard what you said

In 2018 we started the conversation with you about how we can improve our rubbish and recycling services over the next ten years. Your feedback helped form the basis of the Waimate Waste Management and Minimisation Plan for 2018–2028, which is available to read on waimatedc.govt.nz.

The plan outlines the services to be provided, strategic direction and levels of service as well as highlighting the big issues that need to be addressed over the ten-year period.

We want to improve our service

Over the last decade, since the introduction of our current rubbish and recycling system, there has been an increasing number of improvements in waste management services nation-wide.

Waimate District Council is committed to reducing the amount of rubbish sent to landfill, providing opportunities for people to recycle more, improving environmental outcomes and increasing the level of service which is modern and sustainable.

To achieve our goal we're approaching the contractor market together with Timaru and Mackenzie district councils for the provision of waste minimisation and management services. This could lead to environmental benefits, cost savings and increased levels of service for each district.

Now is the time to talk about change

Our current rubbish and recycling contract ends **30 June 2021**

so now is the time to consider changes and review what level of service and management we want as a district before a new contract is awarded.

This will allow the successful contractor time to appropriately resource the new service and provide modern solutions with added innovation.

The initial term for the new contract will be 15 years with a possible five-year extension, depending on contractor performance.

There have also been legislative changes that require councils to improve waste management and to have a stronger focus on health and safety.

This is your chance to have your say on what service you would like.



2 | Waimate District Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation Document

We need to reduce our rubbish

In 1999, Council adopted an aspirational target of zero waste to landfill by 2015. The zero waste target was part of a national movement to help improve waste minimisation practices for New Zealand.

The Waimate District Council's vision for waste management and minimisation is:

"A sustainable community that is able to reuse, recycle and recover discarded resources and minimise residual waste to landfill, while ensuring protection of public health and the environment."

Along with the Waste Minimisation Act 2008, the New Zealand Waste Strategy goals and the target for zero waste, as a district we need to reduce the amount of rubbish we send to landfill, which will be better for the environment, help reduce costs to the community and extend the life of the landfill. One way to achieve this is to remove organics (green waste and food waste) from the waste stream.

Reducing rubbish keeps costs down

All councils around New Zealand currently pay a Government waste levy for each tonne of rubbish sent to landfill. If councils don't reduce the amount of waste that is sent to landfill, they can expect to pay more in Government levies, which in turn have to be funded by communities.

Our Council currently pays the Government levy at a rate of \$10 per tonne of rubbish that is sent to landfill. By 2023 this rate could rise to \$60 per tonne, which will result in higher costs for the community, so reducing our rubbish and better recycling will help keep the costs down. Some of this waste levy fund becomes available for Council to introduce additional waste minimisation activities.

All rubbish in the Waimate District is currently sent to the Redruth landfill in Timaru. After recently updating their rubbish acceptance criteria, **green waste and food waste mixed in with rubbish will no longer be accepted at the Redruth landfill from 1 July 2021.**

How much did we throw away last year?



Typical waste composition



What are the options?

Waimate District Council has identified three options for consideration. All financial estimates are based on the information available at the time of producing this document. The final costs will be known once the contractor agreements have been finalised.

Option A (preferred option)

This proposed service is based on a number of urban collection areas, which are outlined on the maps (pages 8–10). This service will be compulsory for residents within the defined areas.



This multi-bin option includes:

- 240 litre organics (green/food waste) bin collected weekly
- 240 litre recycling bin collected fortnightly
- 140 litre rubbish bin collected fortnightly
- Glass crate collected fortnightly

Advantages

- There will be an increased level of service provided for some members of the community
- Easy to separate rubbish and recycling
- Separation of rubbish reduces the cost of processing and disposal, and the amount sent to landfill
- Less risk of Government waste levy increases
- Better for the environment
- Cleaner streets as rubbish won't be blown from the recycling crate
- This service will be compulsory in certain areas, meaning that the increased cost can be spread over a larger ratepayer base
- Rubbish will be taken directly to Redruth landfill

Disadvantages

- Increased cost for some residents
- Extra space required to store the bins on residents' properties

Estimated cost: \$423 per dwelling for the 2021/22 year for the multi-bin service.

How does this affect the community? For some communities this service will be compulsory, so for those not currently receiving a rubbish and/or green waste bin, this will be a new service.

For rural communities there are currently some rubbish collection services and recycling drop-off stations available. This option will mean that in certain areas a new collection service of recycling, glass and organics will be provided.

Why separate organics?

Organics (green waste and food waste) generally makes up more than a third of a typical rubbish bin. If we don't start separating our organics from our rubbish, we will no longer be able to use the Redruth landfill, resulting in ongoing increased costs to transport to another landfill farther away.

Why separate glass?

Sorting glass from other recyclables reduces the risk of broken glass contaminating other items in the bin. It also means the glass can be colour-sorted at the kerb.

To compare what you are currently paying towards waste management, see page 7 for estimated rates applicable for your property.

Disclosure: Under this option it is assumed that anyone currently receiving a rubbish bin outside the compulsory areas will be included in the multi-bin system. There will not be the option to have any of the bins separately; however, anyone outside the compulsory areas who opts in for the multi-bin system can request additional bins (for example, an additional organics bin).

Option B

This proposed service is based on a number of urban collection areas, which are outlined on the maps (pages 8–10). This service will be compulsory for residents within the defined areas.



This option includes:

- 240 litre recycling bin collected fortnightly
- 140 litre rubbish bin collected fortnightly
- Glass crate collected fortnightly
- Rubbish will be taken to the Waimate Resource Recovery Park for transport to another landfill other than Redruth
- Recycling would be processed at the Resource Recovery Park or contractor's nominated site
- Organic services would be provided at the Resource Recovery Park at a charge via the gate. A private service may be available between the resident and an independent contractor

Advantages

- This service will be compulsory in certain areas, meaning that the increased cost can be spread over a larger ratepayer base
- There will be an increased level of service provided for some members of the community
- Cleaner streets as rubbish won't be blown from the recycling crate

Disadvantages

- Less separation of rubbish will increase the cost of processing and disposal
- This option does not allow the use of Redruth landfill due to updated waste acceptance criteria, therefore we may have to pay more to transport rubbish to another landfill
- Likely increases to the Government waste levy


Estimated cost: \$415 per dwelling for the 2021/22 year for two bins and glass collection service.

How does this affect the community?

For some communities this service will be compulsory, so for anyone not currently receiving a rubbish bin within a defined area, this will be a new service.

For rural communities there are currently some rubbish collections and recycling drop-off stations available. This option will mean that the service will be compulsory in certain areas, therefore a new collection service of rubbish, recycling and glass bins will be provided.

To compare what you are currently paying towards waste management, see page 7 for estimated rates applicable for your property.



Waimate District Council Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation | 5

Option C

This option is based on the current service provided, except for the use of rubbish bags.



This option includes:

- 80 litre urban rubbish bin collected weekly
- Recycling crate collected weekly
- 240 litre rural rubbish bin collected fortnightly
- Rural recycling drop-off stations
- Organic services provided at the Resource Recovery Park at a charge via the gate. A private service may be available between the resident and an independent contractor

Advantages

- Continuity of service
- Optional rubbish bin service

Disadvantages

- Recycling crate is only 60 litres and without a lid; rubbish can be blown out
- No Council-serviced organics bin
- Weekly collection of rubbish bins encourages poor recycling
- Less separation of rubbish will increase the cost of processing and disposal
- This option does not allow the use of Redruth landfill due to updated waste acceptance criteria, therefore we may have to pay more for transport of rubbish to another landfill
- Likely increases to the Government waste levy
- If you do not have a bin, you will need to take your own rubbish to the landfill

Estimated cost per dwelling for 2021/22 (dependent on location)

- 80 litre urban rubbish bin – \$212
- 60 litre urban recycling crate – \$175
- 240 litre rural rubbish bin – \$318
- Rural recycling drop off service – \$88

Council rubbish bags will no longer be available under any of the proposed options after 30 June 2021. This will help improve the health and safety and efficiency of our contractor.



What are the costs?

Comparison of costs for existing services (rates for next year 2020/21)

Estimated rates for existing services for 2020/21:

Urban 80 litre rubbish bin \$212 + urban recycling crate \$133

Urban total \$345*

Rural 240 litre rubbish bin \$318 + rural recycling drop-off service \$66

Rural total \$384

* Urban residents who are currently receiving a green waste bin directly from a private contractor pay for this service outside of Council rates.

Proposed new service options for 2021/22

Impact of options presented	Option A (preferred)	Option B	Option C (current service)
Urban households (currently receiving an 80 litre refuse bin and recycling crate)	Annual Increase \$ 53 Weekly \$ 1.02	Annual Increase \$ 53 Weekly \$ 1.02	Annual Increase \$ 25 Weekly \$ 0.48
Urban households (currently receiving an 80 litre refuse bin, recycling crate and a green waste bin)	Annual Decrease \$ 127 Weekly \$ 2.44	Green waste service excluded	Green waste service excluded
Urban households (currently receiving a recycling crate only)	Annual Increase \$ 265 Weekly \$ 5.10	Annual Increase \$ 265 Weekly \$ 5.10	Annual Increase \$ 25 Weekly \$ 0.48
Urban Business and Commercial (currently receiving an 80 litre refuse bin and recycling crate)	Annual Increase \$ 78 Weekly \$ 1.50	Annual Increase \$ 70 Weekly \$ 1.35	Annual Increase \$ 42 Weekly \$ 0.81
Rural households (currently receiving a 240 litre refuse bin and recycling drop-off service)	Annual Increase \$ 24 Weekly \$ 0.46	Annual Increase \$ 21 Weekly \$ 0.40	Annual Increase \$ 12 Weekly \$ 0.23
Rural households within the compulsory areas (currently receiving the recycling drop-off service)	Annual Increase \$ 342 Weekly \$ 6.58	Annual Increase \$ 339 Weekly \$ 6.52	Annual Increase \$ 12 Weekly \$ 0.23
Rural households outside the compulsory areas (currently receiving the recycling drop-off service)	Annual Decrease \$ 9 Weekly \$ 0.17	Annual Increase \$ 10 Weekly \$ 0.19	Annual Increase \$ 12 Weekly \$ 0.23

Note: The above is a sample of identified properties grouped by services currently received. If your situation is not represented above, then the impact to your property may vary from those listed. For more detailed information on the above costs, see Council's website waimatedc.govt.nz.

The cost of operating the Resource Recovery Park is partially covered by fees and charges (for rubbish taken directly to the Resource Recovery Park), with the remaining funds covered by Civic Amenities Rates which all ratepayers contribute to. For all options presented (A, B and C) the cost of operating the Resource Recovery Park is estimated to reduce and has been incorporated into the sample of changes above.

Weight-based charging for the Resource Recovery Park

At the Waimate Resource Recovery Park we're moving from volumetric charging to a weight-based charging system prior to the contract changing in July 2021. This will ensure a fair and equitable charging mechanism for users of the Resource Recovery Park.

Have a query?


If you have any queries regarding this proposal or the submission process, please contact the following:


Rubbish and recycling proposal – Dan Mitchell, Asset Group Manager – email dan@waimatedc.govt.nz or phone 03-689-0020

Submission process – Leo Milani, Policy Analyst – email submission@waimatedc.govt.nz or phone 03-689-0025

What areas are affected

Current collection route for Waimate District and rural recycling depots





The areas included within the yellow lines on the following maps show the proposed areas that will have a compulsory collection under Option A and Option B.

Those properties currently receiving a rural service outside of the proposed compulsory areas will automatically receive the new service, but will be able to cancel the service if it is not required.

Waimate urban: The proposed Waimate urban collection route will service a total of 1,460 dwellings, including 297 dwellings that were previously not serviced. This includes commercial and business.

Glenavy: The proposed Glenavy collection route services a total of 58 dwellings, including 35 dwellings that were previously not serviced.

The Waimate Resource Recovery Park will continue to remain open for all current and proposed services.

Open hours (remain the same):
 Monday to Saturday 10.30am to 4.30pm
 Sunday 12pm to 4.30pm
 Closed on public holidays





The proposed St Andrews collection route services 65 dwellings, including 5 dwellings that were previously not serviced.



The proposed Willowbridge collection route services 9 dwellings, including 5 dwellings that were previously not serviced.



The proposed Morven collection route services 15 dwellings, including 10 dwellings that were previously not serviced.



The proposed Makikihi collection route services 28 dwellings, including 12 dwellings that were previously not serviced.

Cut here ✂

Submission Form

Rubbish and Recycling Services Consultation 2020

Your details

In order for this submission to be valid, your name and contact details must be included.

Full name.....

Organisation (if applicable).....

Physical address.....

Postal address (if different to above).....

Email..... Phone

Verbal submission

Do you wish to speak to your submission at the Council hearing on Wednesday 3 June 2020? ☐ Yes ☐ No

(If yes, please tell us your daytime phone number above and we will contact you to arrange a suitable time).

If you wish to make your submission in te reo Māori or New Zealand Sign Language, please contact Council on 03-689-0025 or email submission@waimatedc.govt.nz.

Privacy

A full copy of all submissions will be made publicly available. Would you like your personal details withheld? ☐ Yes

Your feedback

What is your preferred option for the Waimate District rubbish and recycling services?

☐ **Option A** – multi-bin system ☐ **Option B** – 2 bin + glass ☐ **Option C** – current service

Do the proposed collection routes extend far enough across the district? (refer page 8) ☐ Yes ☐ No

In the rural area, do we need more recycling drop-off stations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If so, where?.....

Are the locations for the current rural drop-off stations appropriate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, please provide details.

Are the hours for the Resource Recovery Park adequate? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If not, what hours would you like to see it operate?.....

✂ Cut here

Additional feedback

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal dashed lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the entire width of the page, providing a guide for handwriting practice. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

How to give us your feedback

- All submissions are to reach Council by **4pm Friday 22 May 2020.**

Key dates

Council decisions

MEETING CLOSURE